UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,540	05/19/2006	Yoon Seong Soh	6111-000003/US	1467
30596 7590 02/03/2009 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O.BOX 8910			EXAMINER	
			VO, TUNG T	
RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/541,540	SOH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tung Vo	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 22-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-21 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 26 are subject to restriction and/or ele Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	from consideration.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2005</u> is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the one Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Explanation is objected to by the Explanation is objected.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/16/2009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/541,540 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/16/2009 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claim 26 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the newly added claim 26 called for the method for decoding the current macroblock based on the motion vector information and selected field reference picture by decoder that is different from claim 22. Claim 22 called for decoding a current field by determining reference picture index information without any motion vector information.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 26 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,540 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(3) as being anticipated by Kondo et al.
 (US 2004/0146109 A1).

Re claim 22, Kondo discloses a method of determining a reference picture (e.g. figs. 42, 43A-43C) for decoding a current field macroblock (e.g. Field of fig. 43B and \$3C), comprising:

determining a reference picture (e.g P4 of fig. 42) for the current field macroblock (e.g. top field and bottom field of fig. 44) from a reference picture list composed of reference frames (e.g. The first reference index & The second reference index of fig. 42), by a decoder (e.g. fig. 40, encoding/decoding), the reference picture being one of a P-picture and I-picture (e.g. P4 of fig. 42), the determined reference picture (P4 of fig. 42) being one of a top field and a bottom field of a reference frame (e.g. fig. 44, top field and bottom field);

wherein the determining step determines a field having a same parity (top field or bottom field of fig. 44) as the current macroblock or a different parity from the current macroblock (e.g. MB1 of fig. 44) as a reference picture based upon reference picture index information (The first reference index & The second reference index of fig. 42, e.g. fig. 46),

index information for the reference frame (Picture Number of fig. 42) and field parity of the current field macroblock (The first reference index & The second reference index of fig. 42). Fig. 46 showing a relation between reference field indices and frame indices.

Re claim 23, Kondo further discloses encoding/decoding the field macroblock using the determined reference picture (fig. 40, e.g. S503 of fig. 45).

Re claim 24, Kondo further disclose wherein if the reference picture index information is an even index number (e.g. bottom field of fig. 44), then the determined field has a same parity as the current field macroblock.

Re claim 25, Kondo wherein if the reference picture index information is an even index number (e.g. bottom field of fig. 44), then the determined field has a field parity different from the current field macroblock (MB1 or MB2 of fig. 44).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lyu (US 6,917,652) discloses device and method for decoding video signal.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,540 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tung Vo/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621